

# The Odisha Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

---

No. 495 CUTTACK, TUESDAY, MARCH 31, 2015/CHAITRA 10, 1937

---

## LABOUR & E.S.I. DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

The 19th March 2015

No. 2616—li-1-(SS)-20/2007-LESI.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 11th February 2015 in I.D. Case No. 24/2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of Steel Authority of India Ltd. (SAIL), Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela and its workman Shri Binay Kumar Tiwari represented through Steel Employees Association was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

### SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER,  
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA  
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 24 OF 2008  
Dated the 11th February 2015

#### *Present :*

Smt. V. Jayashree,  
O.S.J.S. (Sr. Branch)  
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal,  
Rourkela.

#### *Between :*

Executive Director (P&A),  
SAIL, Rourkela Steel Plant,  
Rourkela. . . For the 1st Party—Management

*And*

Shri Binay Kumar Tiwari, Represented . . For the 2nd Party—Workman  
Through Working President, Steel  
employees' Association, B/134,  
Sector-6, Rourkela.

#### *Appearances :*

For the 1st Party—Management	..	None
For the 2nd Party—Workman	..	None

*AWARD*

The Government of Odisha in their Labour & Employment Department in exercise of their powers conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (d) sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the following dispute vide Order No. 5076—li-1(SS)-20/07, dated the 29th April 2007 for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the Management of Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela in stoppage of increment of Shri Binay Kumar Tiwari, Senior Operative, Blast Furnace (Opn.), Pl. No. 931280, due in January 2005 for one year without cumulative effect is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?"

2. On notices being sent, both parties appeared and filed their written statement and statement of claim. But thereafter neither the workman appeared nor sent any information. From this, it can be presumed that either they have settled their dispute outside the Court in the mean time or they have no interest to conduct the case. Hence no dispute award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

V. Jayashree  
Dt. 11-2-2015  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

V. Jayashree  
Dt. 11-2-2015  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

---

By order of the Governor  
M. NAYAK  
Under-Secretary to Government